

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,726 02/14/2002		02/14/2002	Torsten Zech	C 2397 COGG 1641	
23657	7590	09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
COGNIS	CORPOR	ATION	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
PATENT	<b>DEPARTM</b>	ENT			
300 BRO	OKSIDE AV	'ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AMBLER	L, PA 1900	2		1743	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/075,726	ZECH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lyle A. Alexander	1743	

	Lyle A. Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orighthan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the service of the se	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying (	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2.5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	,	•
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	☐ · will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi		
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>			ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1743



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim language "different forms..." is not clear what forms of reactor are contemplated and if this scope is supported by the original disclosure. Such amendments would require further consideration under 35 USC 112 and further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' state the proposed claim language more clearly defines the type of reactors. The Office does not agree and maintains the rejections of record.